



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,607	11/01/1999	LOUIS E. HENDERSON	15280-169300	8955
20350	7590	09/12/2005	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			FOLEY, SHANON A	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		1648		

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/431,607	HENDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shanon Foley	1648

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) Shanon Foley. (3) _____.

(2) Eugenia Garrett-Wackowski. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

SHANON FOLEY
 (Examiner/SPS Signature)
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: SF requested that the words "membrane permeable" be inserted before "compound" in line 3 to more clearly identify the types of compounds encompassed by the invention, as discussed in applicant's response filed December 20, 2004. On September 7, 2005, EGW left a message affirming the change, but requested that the words "membrane impermeant" be used instead to reflect the arguments of 12/20/04. On September 8, SF returned a message stating that a positive recitation of what the compounds can do is more clear than a negative description of what they cannot do. SF is awaiting confirmation of the change. Since EGW stated on September 7 that "membrane permeable" was OK, although another description was preferred, a Notice of Allowability will be forwarded to applicant with the language "membrane permeable" inserted into line 3 of claim 24 directly before "compound" if no further message from EGW is forwarded.